

Cloning of Qubits of a Quantum Computer

V.N.Dumachev* S.V.Orlov

Voronezh Militia Institute, Ministry of Internal Affairs of the Russia

February 1, 2008

Abstract

A system of unitary transformations providing two optimal copies of an arbitrary input qubit is obtained. An algorithm based on classical Boolean algebra and allowing one to find any unitary transformation realized by the quantum CNOT operators is proposed.

It is known that an arbitrary quantum state

$$|\psi\rangle_0 = \alpha |0\rangle_0 + \beta |1\rangle_0, \quad (1)$$

cannot be copied exactly (cloned). The no-cloning theorem was proved in [1]. However, Buzek and Hillery [2] found a unitary transformation entangling two qubits $|\psi\rangle_{12} = |00\rangle_{12}$ with the input qubit $|\psi\rangle_0$ so that the output state has the form

$$|\Psi^{out}\rangle = |\Phi_0\rangle_{01} |0\rangle_2 + |\Phi_1\rangle_{01} |1\rangle_2, \quad (2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi_0\rangle &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{6}} (2\alpha |00\rangle + \beta |01\rangle + \beta |10\rangle), \\ |\Phi_1\rangle &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{6}} (2\beta |11\rangle + \alpha |01\rangle + \alpha |10\rangle). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The reduced qubit density operators ρ_0^{out} , ρ_1^{out} and ρ_2^{out} at the output are related to the input density operator ρ^{in} in as

$$\rho_{0,1}^{out} = \frac{5}{6} \rho^{in} + \frac{1}{6} \rho_{\perp}^{in},$$

$$\rho_2^{out} = \frac{2}{3} \rho^{in} + \frac{1}{3} \rho_{\perp}^{in}.$$

Here $\rho_{\perp}^{in} = |\psi_{\perp}\rangle_0 \langle\psi_{\perp}|$, where $|\psi_{\perp}\rangle_0 = \alpha |1\rangle_0 - \beta |0\rangle_0$ is the state orthogonal to the input state, $\alpha = e^{i\varphi} \sin(\vartheta/2)$, and $\beta = \cos(\vartheta/2)$. The quality of obtained copies is specified by the cloning accuracy F , which is determined by the overlap of the input and output states [3]

$$F = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \int_0^{\pi} \langle\psi^{in} | \rho^{out} | \psi^{in}\rangle \sin\vartheta d\vartheta.$$

Thus, the output qubits ρ_0^{out} and ρ_1^{out} consist of $\frac{5}{6}$ fraction of the input qubit ρ^{in} in and $\frac{1}{6}$ fraction of an admixture. The qubit $|\psi\rangle_2$ is auxiliary and called cloning. Gisin and Massar [4] proved analytically that representation (3) of the output qubits is optimal, i.e., maximizes the average accuracy of the correspondence between the input and output qubits.

The sequence of actions for cloning qubits is represented in the form of a universal quantum cloning machine. For its operation, it is necessary to prepare preliminary the entangled state of two qubits

$$|\Psi^{prep}\rangle = C_1 |00\rangle + C_2 |01\rangle + C_3 |10\rangle + C_4 |11\rangle \quad (4)$$

by applying unitary operators to zeroth qubits:

$$|\Psi^{prep}\rangle = R_1(\theta_3) P_{21} R_2(\theta_2) P_{12} R_1(\theta_1) |00\rangle_{12}.$$

*E-mail: dum@comch.ru

Here,

$$R(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}$$

is the turning operator of a qubit and

$$P_{12} |x, y\rangle = |x, x \oplus y\rangle \quad (5)$$

is the CNOT operator, where $\oplus$ is the modulus-2 summation. The resulting set of equations

$$\begin{aligned} \cos \theta_1 \cos \theta_2 \cos \theta_3 + \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \sin \theta_3 &= C_1 \\ \sin \theta_1 \cos \theta_2 \cos \theta_3 - \cos \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \sin \theta_3 &= C_2 \\ \cos \theta_1 \cos \theta_2 \sin \theta_3 - \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \cos \theta_3 &= C_3 \\ \cos \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \cos \theta_3 + \sin \theta_1 \cos \theta_2 \sin \theta_3 &= C_4 \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

has the solution

$$\begin{aligned} \cos^2 \theta_1 &= \frac{C_2^2 - C_3^2}{1 - 2C_3^2 - 2C_4^2} + \cos^2 \theta_3 \frac{1 - 2C_2^2 - 2C_4^2}{1 - 2C_3^2 - 2C_4^2}, \\ \cos^2 \theta_2 &= \frac{C_3^2 + C_4^2 - \cos^2 \theta_3}{1 - 2\cos^2 \theta_3}, \\ \cos^2 \theta_3 &= \frac{1}{2} \left(1 \pm \frac{1 - 2C_3^2 - 2C_4^2}{1 - 4(C_1^2 C_4^2 + C_2^2 C_3^2)} \sqrt{1 - 4(C_1^2 C_4^2 + C_2^2 C_3^2) + 8C_1 C_2 C_3 C_4} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Table 1.

N	(C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4)	$\cos^2 \theta_1$	$\cos^2 \theta_2$	$\cos^2 \theta_3$	$\text{sign}(\cos \theta_i, \sin \theta_i)$	$ \Psi^{out}\rangle$
1	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (2, 1, 1, 0)$	$\frac{1}{2} \left(1 \mp \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right)$	$1 \mp \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2} \left(1 \mp \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right)$	$(---, +++)$ $(+++ , +-+)$	$P_{21}P_{02}P_{10} \Psi^{in}\rangle$ $P_{12}P_{20}P_{01} \Psi^{in}\rangle$
2	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (2, 1, 0, 1)$	$\frac{1}{2} \left(1 \mp \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \right)$	$\frac{1}{2} \left(1 \mp \frac{\sqrt{5}}{3} \right)$	$\frac{1}{2} \left(1 \mp \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} \right)$	$(+++ , -+-)$ $(+++ , +++)$	$P_{21}P_{10}P_{02} \Psi^{in}\rangle$ $P_{10}P_{20}P_{02}P_{01} \Psi^{in}\rangle$
3	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (2, 0, 1, 1)$	$\frac{1}{2} \left(1 \mp \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \right)$	$\frac{1}{2} \left(1 \mp \frac{\sqrt{5}}{3} \right)$	$\frac{1}{2} \left(1 \mp \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \right)$	$(+++ , -+-)$ $(+++ , +++)$	$P_{12}P_{01}P_{20} \Psi^{in}\rangle$ $P_{01}P_{02}P_{20}P_{10} \Psi^{in}\rangle$
4	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (1, 2, 1, 0)$	$\frac{1}{2} \left(1 \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \right)$	$\frac{1}{2} \left(1 \mp \frac{\sqrt{5}}{3} \right)$	$\frac{1}{2} \left(1 \mp \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} \right)$	$(--- , +++)$ $(+++ , +-+)$	$P_{20}P_{10}P_{01}P_{0\bar{2}} \Psi^{in}\rangle$ $P_{21}P_{10}P_{0\bar{2}} \Psi^{in}\rangle$
5	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (1, 2, 0, 1)$	$\frac{1}{2} \left(1 \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right)$	$1 \mp \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2} \left(1 \mp \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right)$	$(+++ , -+-)$ $(+++ , +++)$	$P_{12}P_{20}P_{01} \Psi^{in}\rangle$ $P_{21}P_{0\bar{2}}P_{10} \Psi^{in}\rangle$
6	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (1, 1, 2, 0)$	$\frac{1}{2} \left(1 \mp \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} \right)$	$\frac{1}{2} \left(1 \mp \frac{\sqrt{5}}{3} \right)$	$\frac{1}{2} \left(1 \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \right)$	$(--- , +++)$ $(+++ , +-+)$	$P_{01}P_{02}P_{20}P_{10} \Psi^{in}\rangle$ $P_{12}P_{0\bar{1}}P_{20} \Psi^{in}\rangle$
7	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (1, 1, 0, 2)$	$\frac{1}{2} \left(1 \pm \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} \right)$	$\frac{1}{2} \left(1 \mp \frac{\sqrt{5}}{3} \right)$	$\frac{1}{2} \left(1 \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \right)$	$(+++ , -+-)$ $(+++ , +++)$	$P_{12}P_{0\bar{1}}P_{20} \Psi^{in}\rangle$ $P_{01}P_{02}P_{20}P_{10} \Psi^{in}\rangle$
8	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (1, 0, 2, 1)$	$\frac{1}{2} \left(1 \mp \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right)$	$1 \mp \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2} \left(1 \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right)$	$(+++ , -+-)$ $(+++ , +++)$	$P_{21}P_{02}P_{10} \Psi^{in}\rangle$ $P_{12}P_{20}P_{0\bar{1}} \Psi^{in}\rangle$
9	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (1, 0, 1, 2)$	$\frac{1}{2} \left(1 \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \right)$	$\frac{1}{2} \left(1 \mp \frac{\sqrt{5}}{3} \right)$	$\frac{1}{2} \left(1 \pm \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} \right)$	$(+++ , -+-)$ $(+++ , +++)$	$P_{21}P_{10}P_{0\bar{2}} \Psi^{in}\rangle$ $P_{20}P_{10}P_{0\bar{1}}P_{0\bar{2}} \Psi^{in}\rangle$
10	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (0, 1, 1, 2)$	$\frac{1}{2} \left(1 \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right)$	$1 \mp \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2} \left(1 \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right)$	$(--- , +++)$ $(+++ , +-+)$	$P_{21}P_{0\bar{2}}P_{10} \Psi^{in}\rangle$ $P_{12}P_{20}P_{0\bar{1}} \Psi^{in}\rangle$
11	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (0, 1, 2, 1)$	$\frac{1}{2} \left(1 \mp \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \right)$	$\frac{1}{2} \left(1 \mp \frac{\sqrt{5}}{3} \right)$	$\frac{1}{2} \left(1 \pm \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} \right)$	$(--- , +++)$ $(+++ , +-+)$	$P_{21}P_{10}P_{02} \Psi^{in}\rangle$ $P_{20}P_{10}P_{0\bar{1}}P_{02} \Psi^{in}\rangle$
12	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (0, 2, 1, 1)$	$\frac{1}{2} \left(1 \pm \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} \right)$	$\frac{1}{2} \left(1 \mp \frac{\sqrt{5}}{3} \right)$	$\frac{1}{2} \left(1 \mp \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \right)$	$(--- , +++)$ $(+++ , +-+)$	$P_{12}P_{01}P_{20} \Psi^{in}\rangle$ $P_{01}P_{02}P_{20}P_{10} \Psi^{in}\rangle$

Figure 1: Scheme of the optimized variant of the Buzek–Hillery cloning machine. Only two CNOT operators participating in the production of the output state $|\Psi\rangle_{012}^{out}$ from $|\psi\rangle_0$ and $|\Psi\rangle^{prep}$ involve the input qubit $|\psi\rangle_0$. The arrows point to the goal qubit of the CNOT operator.

At the second stage, the quantum cloning machine mixes input qubit (1) with prepared state (4):

$$|\Psi^{in}\rangle = |\psi\rangle_0 |\Psi^{prep}\rangle = \alpha (C_1 |000\rangle + C_2 |001\rangle + C_3 |010\rangle + C_4 |011\rangle) + \beta (C_1 |100\rangle + C_2 |101\rangle + C_3 |110\rangle + C_4 |111\rangle), \quad (8)$$

so as to obtain optimal state (2) at the output

$$|\Psi^{out}\rangle = |\Phi_0\rangle_{01} |0\rangle_2 + |\Phi_1\rangle_{01} |1\rangle_2 = \sqrt{\frac{1}{6}} (2\alpha |000\rangle + \beta |010\rangle + \beta |100\rangle + 2\beta |111\rangle + \alpha |011\rangle + \alpha |101\rangle) \quad (9)$$

Comparing Eqs. (3) and (9), we obtain only 12 different combinations of admissible parameters C_1, C_2, C_3 , and C_4 , for which solution (7) gives the angles for operators $R_1(\theta_1)$, $R_2(\theta_2)$, $R_3(\theta_3)$ (see columns 2–5 in Table 1). The sixth column of Table 1 shows the signs of the rotation angles of $R(\theta)$.

Now, we obtain transformations converting input state (8) (with known C_1, C_2, C_3 , and C_4) to output state (9) only in terms of CNOT operators (5). We represent the total transformation operator as

$$|\Psi^{out}\rangle_{xyz} = P(x, y, z) |\Psi^{in}\rangle_{xyz} = |p_1(x, y, z), p_2(x, y, z), p_3(x, y, z)\rangle$$

where $p_i(x, y, z)$ are the logical functions of three Boolean variables.

Let us find this function for the first row of Table 1.

Table 1. Truth table for functions p_i

x	y	z	p_1	p_2	p_3
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0	1	1
0	1	0	1	0	1
0	1	1	*	*	*
1	0	0	1	1	1
1	0	1	1	0	0
1	1	0	0	1	0
1	1	1	*	*	*

Here, asterisks mean arbitrary values.

Since the CNOT operator can realize only linear Boolean functions, only two of eight different combinations are suitable. For one of them, we represent the set of disjunctive normal forms in terms of the Zhegalkin polynomials:

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 &= \bar{x} \& y \& \bar{z} \vee \bar{x} \& y \& z \vee x \& \bar{y} \& \bar{z} \vee x \& \bar{y} \& z = x \oplus y, \\ p_2 &= \bar{x} \& \bar{y} \& z \vee \bar{x} \& y \& z \vee x \& \bar{y} \& \bar{z} \vee x \& y \& \bar{z} = x \oplus z, \\ p_3 &= \bar{x} \& \bar{y} \& z \vee \bar{x} \& y \& \bar{z} \vee x \& \bar{y} \& \bar{z} \vee x \& y \& z = x \oplus y \oplus z. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Then,

$$|\Psi^{out}\rangle_{xyz} = |p_1, p_2, p_3\rangle = |x \oplus y, x \oplus z, x \oplus y \oplus z\rangle = P_{21} P_{02} P_{10} |\Psi^{in}\rangle_{xyz}.$$

The other rows in Table 1 are filled similarly. To describe the CNOT operator with inversion, we introduce the notation

$$P_{1\bar{2}} |x, y\rangle = P_{12} |x, \bar{y}\rangle = |x, x \oplus \bar{y}\rangle = P_{12} R_2 \left(\frac{\pi}{2} \right) |x, y\rangle,$$

where $R\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is the NOT operation.

The lower half of row 2 in Table 1 describes the operation of the Buzek–Hillery quantum cloning machine [5], whereas the upper half of row 2, describes its optimized variant. It is seen that the output state $|\Psi^{out}\rangle$ can be obtained by three CNOT transformations, only two of which involve the input qubit (see figure). The equatorial qubits of the first row were studied in [6] without discussing the method of their production.

In summary, we obtained the set of unitary transformations producing two copies of an arbitrary input qubit. This transformation is optimal, because it maximizes the average accuracy of correspondence between the input and output qubits. The algorithm allowing one to find any unitary transformation realized by the quantum CNOT operators is proposed on the basis of classical Boolean algebra.

REFERENCES

1. W. K. Wootters and W. H. Zurek, Nature 299, 802 (1982).
2. V. Buzek and M. Hillery, Phys. Rev. A 54, 1844 (1996).
3. R. F. Werner, quant-ph/9804001.
4. N. Gisin and S. Massar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 2153 (1997).
5. V. Buzek, S. L. Braunstein, M. Hillery, and D. Bru, Phys. Rev. A 56, 3446 (1997).
6. Heng Fan, Keiji Matsumoto, and Xiang-Bin Wang, quant-ph/0101101.

Translated by R. Tyapaev